

## United Sta





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov


DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 5480 07/26/2001 Toshiharu Katsuki Q65527 09/912,561 03/26/2003 7590 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC **EXAMINER** 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue JUSKA, CHERYL ANN Washington, DC 20037-3213 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1771

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/912,561	KATSUKI ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Cheryl Juska	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, ily within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (in a cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	rance except for formate Ex parte Quayle, 19	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) objected	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.89(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in n		L	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
a) The translation of the foreign language p  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application	has been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:	





Art Unit: 1771

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to an ink jet fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 59+.
  - II. Claims 6-10, drawn to a method of making said ink jet fabric, classified in class427, subclass 412.
- III. Claim 11, drawn to printed goods, classified in class 428, subclass 196.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process can be employed to coat a substrate other than fabric, such as a film or paper.
- 3. Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a substrate for screen printing rather than for ink jet printing and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are



Art Unit: 1771

not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Inventions of Group II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, Group II is directed to a process of making an intermediate product, while Group III is a final product. Thus, the product of Group III is not produced from the process of Group II. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5.

6. A telephone call was made to Alan Kasper on March 17, 2003, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the







Art Unit: 1771

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CHERNLA JUSKA